arXiv:1409.6180v1 [math.RA] 22 Sep 2014

MAXIMAL SUBALGEBRAS AND CHIEF FACTORS OF LIE ALGEBRAS

DAVID A. TOWERS

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Lancaster University
Lancaster LA1 4YF
England
d.towers@lancaster.ac.uk

Abstract

This paper is a continued investigation of the structure of Lie algebras in relation to their chief factors, using concepts that are analogous to corresponding ones in group theory. The first section investigates the structure of Lie algebras with a core-free maximal subalgebra. The results obtained are then used in section two to consider the relationship of two chief factors of L being L-connected, a weaker equivalence relation on the set of chief factors than that of being isomorphic as L-modules. A strengthened form of the Jordan-Hölder Theorem in which Frattini chief factors correspond is also established for every Lie algebra. The final section introduces the concept of a crown, a notion introduced in group theory by Gaschütz, and shows that it gives much information about the chief factors
Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 17B05, 17B20, 17B30, 17B50.
Key Words and Phrases: Lie algebras, maximal subalgebra, core-free, chief factor, crown, prefrattini subalgebras.

1 Primitive algebras

Throughout L will denote a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field F. The symbol '$\oplus$' will denote an algebra direct sum, whilst '$\dot{+}$' will denote a direct sum of the underlying vector space structure alone. If U is a subalgebra of L we define U_L, the *core* (with respect to L) of U to be the largest ideal of L contained in U. We say that U is *core-free* in L if $U_L = 0$. We shall call L *primitive* if it has a core-free maximal subalgebra. The *centraliser* of U in L is $C_L(U) = \{x \in L : [x, U] = 0\}$. Then we have the following characterisation of primitive Lie algebras.

Theorem 1.1 *1. A Lie algebra L is primitive if and only if there exists a subalgebra M of L such that $L = M + A$ for all minimal ideals A of L.*

2. Let L be a primitive Lie algebra. Assume that U is a core-free maximal subalgebra of L and that A is a non-trivial ideal of L. Write $C = C_L(A)$. Then $C \cap U = 0$. Moreover, either $C = 0$ or C is a minimal ideal of L.

3. If L is a primitive Lie algebra and U is a core-free maximal subalgebra of L, then exactly one of the following statements holds:

(a) $Soc(L) = A$ is a self-centralising abelian minimal ideal of L which is complemented by U; that is, $L = U \dot{+} A$.

(b) $Soc(L) = A$ is a non-abelian minimal ideal of L which is supplemented by U; that is $L = U + A$. In this case $C_L(A) = 0$.

(c) $Soc(L) = A \oplus B$, where A and B are the two unique minimal ideals of L and both are complemented by U; that is, $L = A \dot{+} U = B \dot{+} U$. In this case $A = C_L(B)$, $B = C_L(A)$, and A, B and $(A + B) \cap U$ are non-abelian isomorphic algebras.

Proof.

1. If L is primitive and U is a core-free maximal subalgebra then it is clear that $L = U + A$ for every minimal ideal A of L. Conversely, if there exists a subalgebra M of L such that $L = M + A$ for every minimal ideal A of L and U is a maximal subalgebra of L such that $M \subseteq U$, then U cannot contain any minimal ideal of L, and therefore U is a core-free maximal subalgebra of L.

2. Since U is core-free in L, we have that $L = U + A$. Since A is an ideal of L, then C is an ideal of L and then $C \cap U$ is an ideal of U. Since $[C \cap U, A] = 0$, we have that $C \cap U$ is an ideal of L. Therefore $C \cap U = 0$.

   If $C \neq 0$, consider a minimal ideal X of L such that $X \subseteq C$. Since $X \not\subseteq U$, then $L = X+U$. But now $C = C\cap(X+U) = X+(C\cap U) = X$.

3. Let us assume that A_1, A_2, A_3 are three pairwise distinct minimal ideals of L. Since $A_1 \cap A_2 = A_1 \cap A_3 = A_2 \cap A_3 = 0$, we have that $A_2 \oplus A_3 \subseteq C_L(A_1)$. But then $C_L(A_1)$ is not a minimal ideal of L, and this contradicts 2. Hence, in a primitive Lie algebra there exist at most two distinct minimal ideals.

   Suppose that A is a non-trivial abelian ideal of L. Then $A \subseteq C_L(A)$. Since by 2, $C_L(A)$ is a minimal ideal of L, we have that A is self-centralising. Thus, in a primitive Lie algebra there exists at most one abelian minimal ideal of L. Moreover, $L = A + U$ and A is self-centralising. Then $A \cap U = C_L(A) \cap U = 0$.

   If there exists a unique minimal non-abelian ideal A of L, then $L = A + U$ and $C_L(A) = 0$.

   If there exist two minimal ideals A and B, then $A \cap B = 0$ and then $B \subseteq C_L(A)$ and $A \subseteq C_L(B)$. Since $C_L(A)$ and $C_L(B)$ are minimal ideals of L, we have that $B = C_L(A)$ and $A = C_L(B)$. Now $A \cap U = C_L(B)\cap U = 0$ and $B\cap U = C_L(A)\cap U = 0$. Hence $L = A\dot{+}U = B\dot{+}U$. Since $A = C_L(B)$, it follows that B is non-abelian. Analogously we have that A is non-abelian. Furthermore, we have $A+((A+B)\cap U) = A + B = B + ((A + B) \cap U)$. Hence

$$A \cong \frac{A}{A \cap B} \cong \frac{A+B}{B} \cong \frac{B + ((A + B) \cap U)}{B} \cong (A + B) \cap U.$$

   Analogously $B \cong (A + B) \cap U$.

□

As in the group-theoretic case this leads to three types. A primitive Lie algebra is said to be

1. *primitive of type* 1 if it has a unique minimal ideal that is abelian;

2. *primitive of type* 2 if it has a unique minimal ideal that is non-abelian; and

3. *primitive of type* 3 if it has precisely two distinct minimal ideals each of which is non-abelian.

Of course, primitive Lie algebras of types 2 and 3 are semisimple, and those of types 1 and 2 are monolithic. (A Lie algebra L is called *monolithic* if it has a unique minimal ideal W, the *monolith* of L.)

EXAMPLE **1.1** *Examples of each type are easy to find.*

1. *Clearly every primitive solvable Lie algebra is of type* 1.
2. *Every simple Lie algebra is primitive of type* 2.
3. *If S is a simple Lie algebra then $L = S \oplus S$ is primitive of type* 3 *with core-free maximal subalgebra $D = \{s + s : s \in S\}$, the diagonal subalgebra of L.*

Let M be a maximal subalgebra of L. Then M/M_L is a core-free maximal subalgebra of L/M_L. We say that M is

1. *a maximal subalgebra of type* 1 if L/M_L is primitive of type 1;
2. *a maximal subalgebra of type* 2 if L/M_L is primitive of type 2; and
3. *a maximal subalgebra of type* 3 if L/M_L is primitive of type 3.

Lemma 1.2 *Let L be a non-trivial Lie algebra.*

(i) If M is a maximal subalgebra of L, then L/M_L is primitive.

(ii) If B is an ideal of L and L/B is primitive, then L has a maximal subalgebra M such that $B = M_L$.

Proof.

(i) This is easy.

(ii) Let M/B be a core-free maximal subalgebra of L/B. Then M is a maximal subalgebra of L and $M_L = B$.

□

We say that an ideal A is *complemented* in L if there is a subalgebra U of L such that $L = A+U$ and $A \cap U = 0$. For primitive solvable Lie algebras we have the following analogue of Galois' Theorem for groups.

Theorem 1.3 *1. If L is a solvable primitive Lie algebra then all core-free maximal subalgebras are conjugate.*

2. If A is a self-centralising minimal ideal of a solvable Lie algebra L, then L is primitive, A is complemented in L, and all complements are conjugate.

Proof.

1. This is [8, Lemma 3].

2. This follows easily from [6, Lemma 1.5] and 1.

□

The *Frattini ideal* of L, $\phi(L)$, is the core of intersection of the maximal subalgebras of L. We say that L is *ϕ-free* if $\phi(L) = 0$. Then we have the following characterisation of primitive Lie algebras of type 1.

Theorem 1.4 *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field F.*

1. L is primitive of type 1 if and only if L is monolithic, with abelian monolith W, and ϕ-free.

2. If F has characteristic zero, then L is primitive of type 1 if and only if $L = W \ltimes (C \oplus S)$, where W is the abelian monolith of L, C is an abelian subalgebra of L, every element of which acts semisimply on W, and S is a Levi subalgebra of L.

3. If L is solvable, then L is primitive if and only if it has a self-centralising minimal ideal A.

Proof.

1. If L is primitive of type 1 then it has the stated properties, by Theorem 1.1. So suppose that L is monolithic with abelian monolith W and ϕ-free. Then there is a maximal subalgebra M of L such that $L = W \dot{+} M$. If $M_L \neq 0$ there is a minimal ideal of L contained in M_L and distinct from W, a contradiction. Hence $M_L = 0$ and L is primitive of type 1.

2. This follows from 1 and [5, Theorem 7.5].

3. If L is solvable then it has the stated property, by Theorem 1.1. The converse follows from [6, Lemma 1.5].

□

Theorem 1.5 *For a Lie algebra L the following are pairwise equivalent:*

1. *L is primitive of type 1 or 3;*
2. *there is a minimal ideal B of L complemented by a subalgebra U which also complements $C_L(B)$;*
3. *there is a minimal ideal B of L such that L is isomorphic to the semi-direct sum $X = B \ltimes L/C_L(B)$.*

Proof.

$1 \Rightarrow 2$: This is clear from Theorem 1.1.

$2 \Rightarrow 1$: Since $U_L \cap B = 0$ we have $U_L \subseteq C_L(B)$. But now $U_L \cap C_L(B) = 0$ implies that $U_L = 0$. Suppose that M is a proper subalgebra of L containing U. Then $M \cap B$ is an ideal of of M and is centralised by $C_L(B)$, so $M \cap B$ is an ideal of $M + C_L(B) = L$. By the minimality of B we have that $M \cap B = 0$ and $U = M$. It follows that U is a core-free maximal subalgebra of L and L is primitive. Finally note that the minimal ideal of a primitive Lie algebra of type 2 has trivial centraliser.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$: Simply note that $L = B \dot{+} U$ and $U \cong L/C_L(B)$, whence the map $\theta : B \ltimes L/C_L(B) \to L$ defined by $\theta(b + u) = b + (u + C_L(B))$ is the required isomorphism.

$3 \Rightarrow 2$: Put $C = C_L(B)$ and assume there is an isomorphism $\theta : L \to B \ltimes L/C$. Consider the following subalgebras: $B^* = \theta(\{b+C : b \in B\})$, $U^* = \theta(\{(0 + (x + C) : x \in L\})$ and $C^* = \theta(\{b + (x + C) : b + x \in C\})$. For each $b \in B$, we have that $\theta(-b + (b + C))$ is a non-trivial element of C^*, and so $C^* \neq 0$. It is easy to check that B^* is a minimal ideal of L, that $C^* = C_L(B^*)$ and that U^* complements B^* and C^*.

□

As usual, $\mathcal{O}(m; \underline{1})$ denotes the truncated polynomial ring in n indeterminates. Then the above yields the following characterisation of primitive Lie algebras of type 3.

Corollary 1.6 *1. L is primitive of type 3 if and only if L has two distinct minimal ideals B_1 and B_2, both isomorphic to $S \otimes \mathcal{O}(m;\underline{1})$, where S is simple, with a common complement and such that the factor algebras L/B_i are primitive of type 2 for $i = 1, 2$. In this case*

$$L \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2} (Der\, S_i \otimes \mathcal{O}(m;\underline{1})) \oplus (Id_{S_i} \otimes W(m;\underline{1})),$$

where $S_1 \cong S_2 \cong S$.

2. If F has characteristic zero, then L is primitive of type 3 if and only if $L = S \oplus S$, where S is simple.

Proof.

1. Suppose first that L is primitive of type 3. Then L has two distinct minimal ideals B_1 and B_2 which have a common complement U in L, by Theorem 1.1. Also, $U \cong L/B_1$ and $(B_2+B_1)/B_1$ is a minimal ideal of L/B_1. If $x + B_1 \in C_{L/B_1}((B_2 + B_1)/B_1)$ then $[b, x] \in B_1$ for all $b \in B_2$, which yields that $[x, b] \in B_1 \cap B_2 = 0$ and so $x \in C_L(B_2) = B_1$. Hence $C_{L/B_1}((B_2 + B_1)/B_1) = 0$, implying that L/B_1 is primitive of type 2, and therefore so are U and L/B_2. Finally B_1 and B_2 are both isomorphic to $S \otimes \mathcal{O}(m;\underline{1})$, where S is simple, by [1].

   Conversely, suppose that L has two distinct minimal ideals B_1 and B_2 with a common complement U and such that the factor algebras L/B_i are primitive of type 2 for $i = 1, 2$. Then $U \cong L/B_i$ is primitive of type 2 such that $Soc(L/B_i) = (B_1 + B_2)/B_i$ and $C_L((B_1 + B_2)/B_i) = B_i$. It follows that $C_L(B_2) = B_1$ and $C_L(B_1) = B_2$. By Theorem 1.5 this implies that L is primitive of type 3.

2. If L is primitive of type 3 it must be semisimple with precisely two ideals which are isomorphic to each other, and thus is as described.

□

This leaves primitive Lie algebras of type 2, which can be characterised as follows.

Theorem 1.7 *1. L is primitive of type 2 if and only if*

$$L \cong U + (S \otimes \mathcal{O}(m;\underline{1})) \subseteq (Der\, S \otimes \mathcal{O}(m;\underline{1})) \oplus (Id_S \otimes W(m;\underline{1})),$$

where $S \otimes \mathcal{O}(m;\underline{1})$ is an ideal of L and S is simple.

2. *If F has characteristic zero, then L is primitive of type 2 if and only if L is simple.*

3. *L is primitive of type 2 if and only if there is a primitive Lie algebra X of type 3 such that $L \cong X/B$ for a minimal ideal B of L.*

Proof.

1 and 2 are clear from Theorem 1.1.

3. Suppose that L is primitive of type 2, and let D be the unique minimal ideal of L. Then D is non-abelian and $C_L(D) = 0$, so the semi-direct sum $X = D \ltimes L$ is primitive of type 3, by Theorem 1.5. Clearly, if $B = \{b + 0 : b \in D\}$, then $X/B \cong L$. The converse follows easily from Corollary 1.6 and Theorem 1.1.

□

A special case of the above occurs as follows. We will call a Lie algebra L *almost simple* if it is a subalgebra of $\operatorname{Der} S$ for some simple subalgebra S of L. Over a field of characteristic zero such an algebra has to be simple, as L would be sandwiched between $\operatorname{Inn} S(\cong S)$ and $\operatorname{Der} S$, and simple algebras over such fields have no outer derivations. However, that is not the case for a field of characteristic p, even if it is algebraically closed and S is restricted (see [3]). It is straightforward to check that $C_{\operatorname{Der}(S)}(S) = 0$ and thus that an almost simple Lie algebra is primitive of type 2.

2 Chief factors

We say that two chief factors are *L-isomorphic*, denoted by '$\cong_L$', if they are isomorphic as L-modules. The *centraliser* of a chief factor A/B is $C_L(A/B) = \{x \in L : [x, A] \subseteq B\}$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let L be a Lie algebra and let A_1/B_1, A_2/B_2 be two chief factors of L. Then*

(i) if A_1/B_1 and A_2/B_2 are L-isomorphic, $C_L(A_1/B_1) = C_L(A_2/B_2)$;

(ii) if A_1/B_1 and A_2/B_2 are non-abelian, they are L-isomorphic if and only if $C_L(A_1/B_1) = C_L(A_2/B_2)$.

Proof. (i) is clear, so suppose that $C = C_L(A_1/B_1) = C_L(A_2/B_2)$. Then $B_i \subseteq C \cap A_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since A_i/B_i is non-abelian we have $A_i \not\subseteq C$, which yields that $B_i = C \cap A_i$ and A_i/B_i is L-isomorphic to $(A_i + C)/C$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now $(A_1 + C)/C$ is a minimal ideal of L/C and $C_{L/C}((A_1 + C)/C) = C$, so L/C is primitive of type 2. But $(A_2 + C)/C$ is also a minimal ideal of L/C, so we have that $A_1 + C = A_2 + C$. It follows that A_1/B_1 and A_2/B_2 are L-isomorphic. □

It is easy see that 2.1(ii) does not hold for abelian chief factors, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE **2.1** *Let $L = \mathbb{R}a + \mathbb{R}b + \mathbb{R}c + \mathbb{R}x$ with mutiplication $[x, a] = a$, $[x, b] = c$, $[x, c] = -b$. Then $A_1 = \mathbb{R}a$ and $A_2 = \mathbb{R}b + \mathbb{R}c$ are minimal ideal of L and $C_L(A_1) = \mathbb{R}a + \mathbb{R}b + \mathbb{R}c = C_L(A_2)$, but A_1 and A_2 are clearly not L-isomorphic.*

In [7] a strengthened form of the Jordan-Hölder Theorem in which Frattini chief factors correspond was established for solvable Lie algebras. However, the assumption of solvability is not needed as we shall show below. This assumption is used only to establish [7, Lemma 2.1], so we simply need a slightly modified version of that Lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *Let A_1, A_2 be distinct minimal ideals of the Lie algebra L. Then there is a bijection*

$$\theta : \{A_1, (A_1 + A_2)/A_1\} \to \{A_2, (A_1 + A_2)/A_2\}$$

such that corresponding chief factors are isomorphic as L-modules and Frattini chief factors correspond to one another.

Proof. Put $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$. Suppose first that A_1 is a Frattini chief factor. Then $A_1 \subseteq \phi(L)$. Thus $A/A_2 \subseteq \phi(L/A_2)$ and A/A_2 is a Frattini chief factor. If A/A_1 is also a Frattini chief factor, then $A/A_1 \subseteq \phi(L/A_1)$, which yields that $A \subseteq \phi(L)$, and all four factors are Frattini. In this case we can choose θ so that $\theta(A_1) = A/A_2$ and $\theta(A/A_1) = A_2$. If A/A_1 is not a Frattini chief factor, then nor is A_2, by the same argument as above, and so the same choice of θ suffices; likewise if none of the factors are Frattini chief factors.

The remaining case is where A_1 and A_2 are not Frattini chief factors but A/A_2 is. The fact that A/A_2 is a Frattini chief factor means that every maximal subalgebra containing A_2 also contains A, and so contains A_1. But, since A_1 is not a Frattini chief factor, there is a maximal subalgebra M not containing A_1. It follows that $A_2 \not\subseteq M$. Thus $L = M + A_1 =$

$M + A_2$. Also, $[L, M \cap A_1] = [M + A_2, M \cap A_1] \subseteq M \cap A_1$. It follows that $M \cap A_1 = 0$. Similarly $M \cap A_2 = 0$. Put $C = A \cap M$. Then $L/A_i \cong M$ and this isomorphism maps the set of of maximal subalgebras of L/A_i onto the set of maximal subalgebras of M. Since A/A_2 is a Frattini chief factor, every maximal subalgebra of L containing A_2 contains A, so every maximal subalgebra of M contains C. It follows that every maximal subalgebra of L which contains A_1 also contains $C + A_1$; that is, A/A_1 is a Frattini chief factor of L. So we can choose θ so that $\theta(A_1) = A_2$ and $\theta(A/A_1) = A/A_2$. □

Then the following result follows exactly as does [7, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 2.3 *Let*

$$0 < A_1 < \ldots < A_n = L \qquad (1)$$

$$0 < B_1 < \ldots < B_n = L \qquad (2)$$

be chief series for the Lie algebra L. Then there is a bijection between the chief factors of these two series such that corresponding factors are isomorphic as L-modules and such that the Frattini chief factors in the two series correspond.

Note that if L is a primitive Lie algebra of type 3, its two minimal ideals are not L-isomorphic, so we introduce the following concept. We say that two chief factors of L are *L-connected* if either they are L-isomorphic, or there exists an epimorphic image $\overline{L}$ of L which is primitive of type 3 and whose minimal ideals are L-isomorphic, respectively, to the given factors. (It is clear that, if two chief factors of L are L-connected and are not L-isomorphic, then they are nonabelian and there is a single epimorphic image of L which is primitive of type 3 and which connects them.)

Theorem 2.4 *The relation 'is L-connected to' is an equivalence relation on the set of chief factors.*

Proof. The relation is clearly reflexive and symmetric, so we simply have to establish transitivity. Suppose that A_i/B_i are chief factors of L for $i = 1, 2, 3$, for which A_1/B_1 is L-connected to A_2/B_2 and A_2/B_2 is L-connected to A_3/B_3. If any two are L-isomorphic the result is clear. So suppose that

there are primitive epimorphic images images L/K (with minimal ideals H/K and J/K) and L/T (with minimal ideals P/T and Q/T) such that

$$A_1/B_1 \cong_L H/K, \quad J/K \cong_L A_2/B_2 \cong_L P/T, \quad A_3/B_3 \cong_L Q/T.$$

Then $H = C_L(J/K) = C_L(P/T) = Q$. Now $L/H \cong (L/K)/(H/K)$, which is primitive of type 2 by Corollary 1.6. But

$$\frac{P+H}{H} \cong \frac{P}{P\cap H} = \frac{P}{P\cap Q} = \frac{P}{T} \cong \frac{A_2}{B_2} \cong \frac{J}{K} = \frac{J}{H\cap J} \cong \frac{J+H}{H},$$

so $(P+H)/H$ and $(J+H)/H$ are minimal ideals of L/H. It follows that $P+H = J+H$. Similarly, L/J is primitive of type 2 with unique minimal ideal $(H+J)/J$. As $(P+J)/J$ is an ideal of L/J we have $H+J \subseteq P+J \subseteq P+H+J = H+J$, so $P+H = J+H = P+J$.

If $P = J$ then $T = P\cap Q = J\cap H = K$ and $A_1/B_1 \cong_L A_3/B_3$, a contradiction. It follows that $P \neq J$. Let M, N be maximal subalgebras of L such that $K \subseteq M$, $T \subseteq N$, M is a common complement of H/K and J/K, and N is a common complement of P/T and Q/T, so $M_L = K$ and $N_L = T$. Put $W = P\cap J$ and $X = M\cap N + W$. Then $L = M+H = M+K = N+P = N+Q$ from which it follows that $M\cap W$ is an ideal of L and $M\cap W = K\cap T$. Now $X = L$ implies that $M = M\cap X = M\cap(M\cap N+W) = M\cap N + M\cap W = M\cap N + K\cap T = M\cap N$, a contradiction, as $M \neq N$. Thus $X \neq L$ and

$$\begin{aligned} P+X &= P + M\cap N + W = P + M\cap N = P + T + M\cap N \\ &= P + (T+M)\cap N = P + N = L. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $J + X = L$.

But $P\cap X$ is an ideal of X and $(P\cap X)/W \subseteq C_{L/W}(J)$, so $P\cap X$ is an ideal of $J + X = L$. Since $P/W \cong (P+J)/J = (H+J)/J$ is a chief factor of L and $W \subseteq P\cap X \subseteq P$, we have $P\cap X = P$ or $P\cap X = W$. The former implies that $P \subseteq X$, which in turn yields that $X = L$, a contradiction. Thus we have $P\cap X = W$. Similarly $J\cap X = W$. Finally, P/W is a minimal ideal of L/W and $C_{L/W}(P/W) = J/W$, and so L/W is primitive of type 3 and L-connects A_1/B_1 and A_3/B_3. □

Let A/B be a chief factor of L. We say that A/B is a *Frattini* chief factor if $A/B \subseteq \phi(L/B)$. If there is a subalgebra M such that $L = A + M$ and $B \subseteq A\cap M$, we say that A/B is a *supplemented* chief factor of L, and that M is a *supplement* of A/B in L. If A/B is a non-Frattini chief factor of L then A/B is supplemented by a maximal subalgebra M of L.

If A/B is a chief factor of L supplemented by a subalgebra M of L and $A \cap M = B$ then we say that A/B is a *complemented* chief factor of L, and M is a *complement* of A/B in L. When L is solvable it is easy to see that a chief factor is Frattini if and only if it is not complemented.

Proposition 2.5 *Let A/B be a chief factor of the Lie algebra L supplemented by the maximal subalgebra M, and let $C = C_L(A/B)$. Then*

(i) $(A + M_L)/M_L$ is a minimal ideal of the primitive Lie algebra L/M_L;

(ii) if M is of type 1 or 3 then each chief factor of L supplemented by M is complemented by M;

(iii) if A/B is abelian then L/M_L is of type 1 and is isomorphic to the semidirect sum $A/B \rtimes L/C$; and

(iv) if A/B is non-abelian then L/C is primitive of type 2, $Soc(L/C) \cong_L A/B$, and, if K is a maximal subalgebra supplementing $(A + C)/C$ in L, then K is also a supplement to A/B in L and $K_L = C$.

Proof.

(i) It is clear that L/M_L is primitive and $B = A \cap M_L$. Suppose that S is an ideal of L with $M_L \subseteq S \subseteq A + M_L$. Then $S = (A + M_L) \cap S = A \cap S + M_L$ and $B \subseteq A \cap S \subseteq A$. Then either $A \cap S = B$, in which case $S = M_L$, or $A \cap S = A$, in which case $S = A + M_L$. Hence $(A + M_L)/M_L$ is a minimal ideal of L/M_L.

(ii) If M is of type 1 or 3 then $(A + M_L)/M_L$ is a minimal ideal of L/M_L, which is primitive of type 1 or 3 and so $M \cap (A + M_L) = M_L$. But then $M \cap A = M_L \cap A = B$.

(iii) If A/B is abelian then L/M_L is primitive of type 1, in which case $C = A + M_L$ and $M/M_L \cong L/C$. It follows that L/M_L is isomorphic to the semidirect sum $A/B \rtimes L/C$.

(iv) If A/B is non-abelian then two possibilities arise. If $C = M_L$ then L/M_L is primitive of type 2 and $\mathrm{Soc}(L/C) = (A + C)/C \cong_L A/B$. If $M_L \subset C$, then L/M_L is primitive of type 3 with minimal ideals $(A + M_L)/M_L$ and C/M_L. In this case L/C is primitive of type 2 and $\mathrm{Soc}(L/C) = (A + C)/C \cong_L A/B$.

In either case, let K be a maximal subalgebra supplementing $(A + C)/C$ in L. Then $L = A + K$ and $B = A \cap B = A \cap K_L$. Hence K is also a supplement of A/B in L and $K_L = C$.

□

In view of the above result, for any chief factor A/B of L we define the primitive algebra associated with A/B in L to be

(i) the semidirect sum $A/B \rtimes (L/C_L(A/B))$ if A/B is abelian, or

(ii) the factor algebra $L/C_L(A/B)$ if A/B is non-abelian.

Let A/B be a supplemented chief factor of L for which M is a maximal subalgebra of L supplementing A/B in L such that L/M_L is monolithic and primitive. Note that Proposition 2.5 (iii) and (iv) show that such an M exists; we call M a *monolithic maximal subalgebra* supplementing A/B in L. We say that the chief factor $\mathrm{Soc}(L/M_L) = (A + M_L)/M_L$ is the *precrown* of L associated with M and A/B, or simply, a precrown of L associated with A/B.

If A/B is a non-abelian chief factor of L, then for each maximal subalgebra M of L supplementing A/B in L such that L/M_L is a monolithic and primitive, we have that $M_L = C_L(A/B)$. Therefore the unique precrown of L associated with A/B is

$$\mathrm{Soc}(L/M_L) = \frac{A + M_L}{M_L} = \frac{A + C_L(A/B)}{C_L(A/B)}.$$

However, if A/B is a complemented abelian chief factor of L and M is a complement of A/B in L, then the precrown of L associated with M and A/B is

$$\mathrm{Soc}(L/M_L) = \frac{A + M_L}{M_L} = C_{L/M_L}\left(\frac{A + M_L}{M_L}\right) = \frac{C_L(A/B)}{M_L}.$$

This raises the question of how many different precrowns are associated with a particular abelian chief factor. For solvable algebras the answer is given by the following result.

Proposition 2.6 *Let A/B be a complemented chief factor of a solvable Lie algebra L over a field F of characteristic p, and suppose further that L^2 has nilpotency class less than p. Then the map which assigns to each conjugacy class of complements of A/B in L, $\{exp(ad\, a)(M) : a \in L\}$ say, the common core M_L of its elements, induces a bijection between the set of all conjugacy classes of complements of A/B in L and the set of all ideals of L which complement A/B in $C_L(A/B)$.*

Hence there is a bijection between the set of precrowns of L associated with A/B and the set of all conjugacy classes of complements of A/B in L.

Proof. Put $C = C_L(A/B)$, and let M be a maximal subalgebra of L such that $L = A + M$ and $A \cap M = B$. Put $N = C \cap M$. Then N is an ideal of L such that $C = A + N$ and $A \cap N = B$. Then $(A + N)/N \cong_L A/B$ and $(A + N)/N$ is a self-centralising minimal ideal of L/N. By Theorem 1.3, $(A + N)/N$ is complemented in L/N and all complements are conjugate. If M/N is one of these complements, then $N = M_L$. Hence the map is surjective.

Let M and S be two complements of A/B in L such that $N = M_L = S_L$. Then L/N is solvable and primitive such that and S/N, M/N are complements of $\mathrm{Soc}(L/N) = (A + N)/N$. By [8, Theorem 5], there exists an element $a \in A$ such that $\exp(\mathrm{ad}\, a)(S) = M$. Hence the correspondence is injective.

The maximal subalgebras in a conjugacy class have a common core, by [8, Theorem 4]. Finally observe that, since A/B is abelian, the precrowns of L associated with A/B have a common numerator $C_L(A/B)$ and different denominators M_L, one for each conjugacy class of complements of A/B in L. □

Proposition 2.7 *Let A_i/B_i, $i = 1, 2$, be two supplemented chief factors of L that are L-connected, and let C_i/R_i be a precrown associated with A_i/B_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then $C_1 = C_2$.*

Proof. If A_i/B_i is abelian, then $C_1 = C_L(A_1/B_1) = C_L(A_2/B_2) = C_2$.

If A_1/B_1 and A_2/B_2 are nonabelian but L-isomorphic, they have the same precrown, by Proposition 2.5 (iv).

So suppose that there is an ideal N of L such that L/N is primitive of type 3 with minimal ideals E_1/N, E_2/N such that $E_1/N \cong_L A_1/B_1$ and $E_2/N \cong_L A_2/B_2$. Then $C_L(E_1/N) = E_2$ and $C_L(E_2/N) = E_1$. Hence the precrown associated with E_1/N and A_1/B_1 is $(E_1 + E_2)/E_2$, and the precrown associated with E_2/N and A_2/B_2 is $(E_1 + E_2)/E_1$. □

3 Crowns

Let A/B be a supplemented chief factor of L and put $\mathcal{J} = \{M_L : M$ is a monolithic maximal subalgebra of L which supplements a chief factor of L which is L-connected to $A/B\}$. Let $R = \cap\{N : N \in \mathcal{J}\}$ and $C = A + C_L(A/B)$. Then we call C/R the *crown* of L associated with A/B.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{N : D/N$ is a precrown associated with a chief factor L-connected to $A/B\}$, $\mathcal{J}_2 = \{M_L : M$ is a maximal subalgebra of L supplementing a chief factor L-connected to $A/B\}$, and $\mathcal{J}_3 = \{M_L : M$ is a maximal subalgebra of L supplementing a chief factor L-isomorphic to $A/B\}$. Then*

$$\bigcap\{N : N \in \mathcal{J}\} = \bigcap\{N : N \in \mathcal{J}_1\} = \bigcap\{N : N \in \mathcal{J}_2\} = \bigcap\{N : N \in \mathcal{J}_3\}.$$

Proof. This follows straightforwardly from Proposition 2.5. □

Theorem 3.2 *Let C/R be the crown associated with the supplemented chief factor A/B of L. Then $C/R = Soc(L/R)$. Furthermore*

(i) every minimal ideal of L/R is a supplemented chief factor of L which is L-connected to A/B, and

(ii) no supplemented chief factor of L above C or below R is L-connected to A/B.

In other words, there are r ideals $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ of L such that

$$C/R = A_1/R \oplus \ldots \oplus A_r/R$$

where A_i/R is a supplemented chief factor of L which is L-connected to A/B for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and r is the number of supplemented chief factors of L which are L-connected to A/B in each chief series for L. Moreover, $\phi(L/R) = 0$.

Proof. Let $R = N_1 \cap \ldots \cap N_r$ where C/N_i are the precrowns associated with chief factors that are L-connected to A/B and r is minimal with respect to this property. Then

$$\theta : \frac{C}{R} = \frac{C}{N_1 \cap \ldots \cap N_r} \to \frac{C}{N_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \frac{C}{N_r}$$

given by $\theta(c+(N_1 \cap \ldots \cap N_r)) = (c+N_1, \ldots, c+N_r)$ is an L-monomorphism. Moreover, $C = N_i + (N_1 \cap \ldots \cap N_{i-1})$ for $i \leq r$, from the minimality of r, and so

$$\frac{N_1 \cap \ldots \cap N_{i-1}}{N_1 \cap \ldots \cap N_i} \cong_L \frac{C}{N_i}.$$

It follows that the chain

$$R = N_1 \cap \ldots \cap N_r \subseteq N_1 \cap \ldots \cap N_{r-1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq N_1 \subseteq C$$

is part of a chief series for L in which each chief factor is L-connected to A/B. Hence $\dim(C/R) = r\dim(A/B)$ and θ is an isomorphism.

Suppose that E/F is a supplemented chief factor of L which is L-connected to A/B and let M be a maximal subalgebra of L that is a supplement of E/F in L. Then $E \subseteq C$, by Proposition 2.7. However, $E \not\subseteq R$, since $R \subseteq M_L$. It follows that no supplemented chief factor of L over C or below R is L-connected to A/B.

By Theorem 2.3 the number of supplemented chief factors L-connected to A/B in each chief series of L is an invariant of L and coincides with the length of any section of chief series between R and C.

Next suppose that D/R is a minimal ideal of L/R and that $D \not\subseteq C$. Then $D \cap C = R$ and $D \subseteq C_L(A_1/R)$. But A_1/R is L-connected to A/B, so $D \subseteq C_L(A_1/R) \subseteq C$, by Proposition 2.7.

Finally, $\phi(L/R) = 0$ since every minimal ideal of L/R is supplemented. □

Corollary 3.3 *Two supplemented chief factors of L define the same crown if and only if they are L-connected.*

Proof. This is clear, since the crown associated with a supplemented chief factor is a direct sum of supplemented components. □

Proposition 3.4 *For any Lie algebra L we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cap \{S : \text{ there is a non-abelian crown } R/S \text{ of } L\} \\
=&\cap \{M_L : M \text{ is maximal in } L \text{ and } L/M_L \text{ is primitive of type } 2\} \\
=&\cap \{M_L : M \text{ is maximal in } L \text{ and } L/M_L \text{ is primitive of type } 2 \text{ or } 3\} \\
=&\cap \{C : C = C_L(A/B), A/B \text{ a non-abelian chief factor of } L\} \\
=&\Gamma, \text{ the solvable radical of } L.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 that the given intersections all yield the same ideal, J say. Let A/B be a chief factor of L below J. If A/B is non-abelian we have $A \subseteq J \subseteq C_L(A/B)$, a contradiction, so $J \subseteq \Gamma$. Moreover, if R/S is a non-abelian crown of L, then $(S + \Gamma)/S$ is a solvable ideal of L/S and so is trivial, since $R/S = \mathrm{Soc}(L/S)$, by Theorem 3.2. It follows that $\Gamma \subseteq S$, whence $\Gamma \subseteq J$. □

Theorem 3.5 *Let L be a solvable Lie algebra, and let $C/R = \bar{C}$ be the crown associated with a supplemented chief factor of L. Then $\bar{C}$ is complemented in $\bar{L}$, and any two complements are conjugate by an automorphism of the form $1 + ad\, a$ for some $a \in \bar{C}$.*

Proof. For simplicity we will assume that R has been factored out and write the crown simply as C. Then $C = \mathrm{Asoc}L$ and $\phi(L) = 0$, so $L = C\dot{+}U$ for some subalgebra U of L, by [5, Theorem 7.3].

Let $\mathrm{Asoc}L = A_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus A_n$ in L, where A_i is a minimal ideal of L for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $\mathrm{Asoc}L = N$, where N is the nilradical of L, by [5, Theorem 7.4], and $C_L(N) = N$. Now $A_i \cong_L A_j$ for each $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and so $C_L(A_i) = N$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let D/N be a minimal ideal of L/N. Then there exists $d \in D$ which does not act nilpotently on N. Let $L = E_L(d)\dot{+}L_1$ be the Fitting decomposition of L relative to $\mathrm{ad}\, d$. Clearly $L_1 \subseteq N$, and L_1 is an ideal of L. Without loss of generality we can assume that $L_1 = A_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus A_r$, where $r \leq n$. Since $[L_1, d] = L_1$ it follows that $[A_i, d] = A_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, whence $[N, d] = N$, since the $A_i's$ are L-isomorphic to each other. Thus $L_1 = N$ and we can assume that $U = E_L(d)$.

Let V be another complement of C in L. Then there exists $v \in V$ such that $v = d + n$ for some $n \in N$. Now $N = [N, d]$, so $n = [a, d]$ for some $a \in N$. Thus $v = d + [a, d] = d(1+\mathrm{ad}\, a)$. But $1+\mathrm{ad}\, a$ is an automorphism of L, and so

$$U(1 + \ \mathrm{ad}\ a) = E_L(d)(1 + \ \mathrm{ad}\ a) = E_L(v) \supseteq V,$$

since v corresponds to d in the isomorphism $V \to L/N \to U$. But $E_L(v)$ and V are both complements to N in L, and so $E_L(v) = V$, and the result follows. $\square$

Let

$$0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \ldots \subset L_n = L$$

be a chief series for L. We define the set $\mathcal{I}$ by $i \in \mathcal{I}$ if and only if L_i/L_{i-1} is not a Frattini chief factor of L. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ put

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \{M \text{ is a maximal subalgebra of } L\colon L_{i-1} \subseteq M \text{ but } L_i \not\subseteq M\}.$$

Then B is a *prefrattini* subalgebra of L if

$$B = \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} M_i \text{ for some } M_i \in \mathcal{M}_i.$$

It was shown in [7] that the definition of prefrattini subalgebras does not depend on the choice of chief series.

Theorem 3.6 *Let L be a solvable Lie algebra. Then the prefrattini subalgebras of L are precisely the intersections of the complements of the crowns, one complement being taken from each crown.*

Proof. Let L_i/L_{i-1} be a non-Frattini, and hence supplemented, chief factor of L, and let its crown $C/R = A_1/R \oplus \ldots \oplus A_r/R$ be complemented by K/R. Then $M_i = A_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \hat{A}_i \oplus \ldots \oplus A_r \dot{+} K$ (where the 'hat' is over a term that is omitted from the sum) is a maximal subalgebra of L such that $R \subseteq M_i$ but $A_i \not\subseteq M_i$. It is clear that if we intersect all such subalgebras over each of the crowns then we get a prefrattini subalgebra of L, and that this intersection is equal to the intersection of the complements K, one for each crown.

Moreover, if M is a maximal subalgebra with $R \subseteq M$ but $A_i \not\subseteq M$, then $L = A_i \dot{+} M$ and $M \cong L/A_i \cong A_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \hat{A}_i \oplus \ldots \oplus A_r \dot{+} K$. It follows that we get all prefrattini subalgebras this way. □

Theorem 3.7 *Let L be a solvable Lie algebra, A/B a supplemented chief factor of L, C/R the crown associated with A/B and K/R a complement for C/R. Then K avoids every chief factor that is L-connected to A/B and covers the rest.*

Proof. We have $L = C + K$, $C \cap K = R$ and $C^2 \subseteq R \subset K$. Let E/F be a supplemented chief factor of L. Then $F + [C, E] = F$ or E. Suppose first that $F + [C, E] = F$. Then $[C, E] \subseteq F$. Note that this case must occur if E/F is L-connected to A/B, since then $C = C_L(A/B) = C_L(E/F)$. But now $F + K \cap E$ is an ideal of L and so $F + K \cap E = F$ or E. The former implies that $K \cap E \subseteq K \cap F$, whence $K \cap E = K \cap F$ and K avoids E/F.

The latter yields that $F + K = E + K$ and K covers E/F. In this case, if E/F is L-connected to A/B we have $R \subseteq F$ and $E = F + K \cap E$. But $K \cap E \subseteq K \cap C = R$, from which $E \subseteq F + R = F$, a contradiction. So this case only occurs when E/F is not L-connected to A/B.

The remaining possibility (which also only occurs when E/F is not L-connected to A/B) is that $F + [C, E] = E$. Then $[C, E] \subseteq F + [C, [C, E]] \subseteq F + C^2 \subseteq F + R \subseteq F + K$, giving $E \subseteq F + K$. Thus $E + K = F + K$ and K covers E/F. □

References

[1] R.E. Block, 'Determination of the differentiably simple rings with a minimal ideal', *Ann. of Math.* **90** (1969), 433 – 459.

[2] A. Ballester-Bolinches and L.M. Ezquerro, 'Classes of Finite Groups', *Mathematics and its Applications* **584** (2006), Springer.

[3] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, 'Modular Lie algebras and their Representations', Marcel-Dekker, New York and Basel 1988.

[4] J. Lafuente, 'Nonabelian crowns and Schunck classes of finite groups', *Arch. Math.* **42** (1984), 32–39.

[5] D.A. Towers, 'A Frattini theory for algebras', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **27** (1973), 440–462.

[6] D.A. Towers, 'On Lie algebras in which modular pairs of subalgebras are permutable', *J. Algebra* **68** (1981), 369–377.

[7] D.A. Towers, 'Complements of intervals and prefrattini subalgebras of solvable Lie algebras', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141** (2013), 1893–1901.

[8] D.A. Towers, 'On conjugacy of maximal subalgebras of solvable Lie algebras', *Comm. Alg.* **42 (3)** (2014), 1350–1353.